

OHIO RAIL DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

OHIO HUB ECONOMIC IMPACT ANALYSIS

Prepared for

**The Ohio Rail Development Commission
50 West Broad Street, 15th floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215**

Prepared by



**GEM
PUBLIC SECTOR
SERVICES**

**137 North Main Street, Suite 900
Dayton, Ohio 45402**

September 20, 2007

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Ohio Rail Development & Commission
ORDC Staff
TEMS

U.S. Census Bureau
Bureau of Labor Statistics
Demographics Now

Mr. James Seney, former Director of the ORDC

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	i.
TABLE OF CONTENTS	ii.
LIST OF MAPS	vi.
LIST OF TABLES	vii.
LIST OF FIGURES	ix.
LIST OF APPENDICES	xi.

I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A. An Evaluation of TEMS Methodologies	1.
B. Input/Output Summary and Implications	4.
C. Long –term Employment, Income and Real Estate Development Impacts	6.
1. Employment Growth	7.
2. Household Income	8.
3. Real Estate Value Increases	8.
D. The Compiled Results of Four Community Meetings Regarding the Ohio Hub	10.
1. Findings Regarding Passenger Service	10.
2. Findings Regarding Regional Economic Development	10.
3. Findings Regarding Site Development	11.
E. Urban Development and Land Use Impacts Near High-Volume Amtrak Stations in Maine, Pennsylvania and Wisconsin	11.
F. Recommendations	13.

II. AN EVALUATION OF TEMS METHODOLOGIES

A. Large Scale/Statistical Models	1.
B. Demand and Ridership Estimation	4.
1. Trip Distribution	4.
2. Calibration of Trip Distribution	6.
3. Generalized Costs and Utility	6.
C. Survey Techniques and the Value of Travel Time	7.
1. Future Socio-Economic Patterns	9.
2. The Pivot Point Forecast	9.
3. Utility and the Split Mode Model	10.
4. Induced Travel Demand and Transportation Oriented Development	10.
5. Key Assumptions and Implications	11.
6. Ridership Conclusions	14.
D. Financial Ratios and Benefit/Cost Analysis	14.
1. Operating Ratio	15.
2. Benefit/Cost Analysis	15.
E. Rents and Economic Impacts	18.
1. Rents	18.
2. Dynamics Effects	20.
3. Construction and Operations Impacts	21.

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

II. AN EVALUATION OF TEMS METHODOLOGIES (CONTINUED)

4. Fiscal Impacts	22.
F. Conclusion	22.

III. INPUT/OUTPUT ANALYSIS AND REPORT

A. Introduction	1.
1. Purpose of Report	2.
2. Objective of Report	2.
3. Report Outline	4.
B. Description of the Ohio Hub	5.
C. Economic Impact Methodology	8.
1. RIMS II Multipliers	9.
2. Capitol Cost Allocation by Corridors	10.
3. Definition of Communities	11.
4. Mileage Allocation	14.
D. Short Run Construction Impact Analysis	15.
1. State of Ohio Impacts	15.
2. Community Impact Analysis	18.
E. Ohio Industry Impacts Analysis	21.
1. Industry Output	21.
2. Employment Impact	22.
3. Household Earnings	23.
F. Operations & Maintenance Expenditure Impacts of the Ohio Hub	25.
1. 2025 Revenues and Expenses	25.
2. Economic Impact of Operations & Maintenance Expenditures	27.
G. Dynamic Benefits	29.
H. Summary and Implications	30.

IV. EMPLOYMENT, INCOME AND REAL ESTATE IMPACTS OF THE OHIO HUB

A. The Methodology for Evaluating Employment Growth	10.
1. How to Read the Tables	11.
2. Some Observations Based on the Data	12.
B. The Methodology for Analyzing Household Income Increases	17.
1. How to Read the Tables	18.
2. Some Observations Based on the Data	18.
C. The Methodology for Analyzing Real Estate Value Increases	19.
1. How to Read the Tables	23.
2. Some Observations Based on the Data	23.
D. Overall Observations Based on the Data	29.
1. Observations Regarding Employment Growth	30.

**TABLE OF CONTENTS
(CONTINUED)**

**IV. EMPLOYMENT, INCOME AND REAL ESTATE
IMPACTS OF THE OHIO HUB (CONTINUED)**

2. Observations Regarding Household Income Increases 30.
3. Observations Regarding Real Estate Value Increases 31.

**V. LOCAL MARKET INPUT MEETINGS-VIEWS FROM FOUR
COMMUNITIES**

A. Cincinnati 1.
 1. Findings Regarding Passenger Service 2.
 2. Findings Regarding Regional Economic Growth 3.
 3. Findings Regarding Site Development 4.
B. Columbus 6.
 1. Findings Regarding Passenger Service 6.
 2. Findings Regarding Regional Economic Growth 7.
 3. Findings Regarding Site Development 10.
C. Middletown 12.
 1. Findings Regarding Passenger Service 12.
 2. Findings Regarding Regional Economic Growth 14.
 3. Findings Regarding Site Development 14.
D. Toledo 16.
 1. Findings Regarding Passenger Service 16.
 2. Findings Regarding Economic Growth 18.
 3. Findings Regarding Site Development 21.
E. The Combined Results of the Local Meetings 22.
 1. Findings Regarding Passenger Service 23.
 2. Findings Regarding Regional Economic Development 24.
 3. Findings Regarding Site Development 24.

**VI. BENCHMARK STUDY ANALYSIS OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND
LAND USE IMPACTS NEAR HIGH-VOLUME AMTRAK STATIONS IN
MAINE, PENNSYLVANIA, AND WISCONSIN**

A. Introduction 1.
 1. Selection of Rail Corridors 2.
 2. Effects of High Speed Rail Service 3.
 3. Key Characteristics of Selected Corridors 4.
B. The Keystone Corridor 5.
 1. Income Trends 7.
 2. Housing Market Trends 8.
 3. Summary 10.

TABLE OF CONTENTS (CONTINUED)

VI. BENCHMARK STUDY ANALYSIS OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND LAND USE IMPACTS NEAR HIGH-VOLUME AMTRAK STATIONS IN MAINE, PENNSYLVANIA, AND WISCONSIN (CONTINUED)

C. The Downeaster and Maine Station Stops	11.
1. Demographic Trends Near Rail Stations	12.
2. Housing Market Trends	13.
3. Income Trends	14.
4. Summary for Downeaster	15.
D. Comparison of Major City Station Stops	16.
1. Demographic Characteristics	16.
2. Housing Market Trends	17.
3. Income Trends	18.
4. Summary for Major Cities	19.
E. Insights from the Hiawatha Line	19.
1. Milwaukee Amtrak Terminal	20.
2. General Mitchell Airport	22.
3. Sturtevant/Racine	24.
F. Conclusions	25.

VII. SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

A. Summary	1.
1. TEMS Methodology	2.
2. I/O Economic Impacts	2.
3. Employment, Income and Real Estate Impacts	4.
4. Community Studies	5.
a. Findings Regarding Passenger Service	5.
b. Findings Regarding Regional Economic Development	7.
c. Findings Regarding Site Development	7.
5. Benchmark Study	7.
B. Recommendations	8.
1. Seek funding for a complete Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) ..	8.
2. Develop and implement an integrated design, construction, and implementation plan	8.
3. Involve local communities in planning and implementation efforts as soon as possible to maximize the potential economic development benefits plan	9.
4. More fully integrate airport connections into the Ohio HUB system	9.
5. Investigate the potential benefits or costs to Ohio’s rail freight operators and users	9.
6. Prepare a construction and start-up business plan for the Ohio HUB system	9.

LIST OF MAPS

IV. EMPLOYMENT, INCOME AND REAL ESTATE IMPACTS OF THE OHIO HUB

Map-Cincinnati Station Stop – 0.25 mile and 0.50 mile radii	2.
Aerial-Cincinnati Station Stop - 0.25 mile and 0.50 mile radii	3.
Map-Columbus Station Stop – 0.25 mile and 0.50 mile radii	4.
Aerial-Columbus Station Stop – 0.25 mile and 0.50 mile radii	5.
Map-Middletown Station Stop – 0.25 mile and 0.50 mile radii	6.
Aerial-Middletown Station Stop – 0.25 mile and 0.50 mile radii	7.
Map-Toledo Station Stop – 0.25 mile and 0.50 mile radii	8.
Aerial-Toledo Station Stop – 0.25 mile and 0.50 mile radii	9.

LIST OF TABLES

II. AN EVALUATION OF TEMS METHODOLOGIES

II.1	Round Trip Cost by Mode in Dollars---High Speed Scenario	13.
II.2	Benefits/Option 1 with / MWRRS Conductivity, High Seed Scenario (2002 dollars in millions)	16.

III INPUT/OUTPUT ANALYSIS AND REPORT

III.1	Total Capital Costs of the Ohio Hub by Type (2005 Dollars)	6.
III.2	Ohio Hub Infrastructure Cost Shares by State	7.
III.3	RIMS II Construction Sector Multipliers for Ohio and Major Communities	9.
III.4	Mileage and Capital Cost Allocations by Corridor and Segments of the Ohio Hub (2005 Dollars)	11.
III.5	Ohio Hub Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) and Component Countries	12.
III.6	Ohio Hub Capital Costs Assigned to Ohio's Major Cities (2005 Dollars)	14.
III.7	Range of Capital Construction Spending Impacts on Ohio's Economy Related to the Extent of External Finance	16.
III.8	Annual Infrastructure Expenditure over The Construction Phase of the Ohio Hub (2005 Dollars)	17.
III.9	Economic Impacts of Ohio Hub Capital Expenditures by Regions (2005 Dollars)	20.
III.10	Output Impact of Ohio Hub Construction by Industry (2005 Dollars)	21.
III.11	Employment Impact of Ohio Hub Construction by Industry	23.
III.12	Impact of Ohio Hub Construction on Household Earnings by Industry in Ohio (2005 Dollars)	24.
III.13	2025 Operating & Maintenance Expenditures (2005 Dollars)	27.
III.14	Impact of Ohio Hub O & M Expenditures (2005 Prices)	29.

IV. EMPLOYMENT, INCOME AND REAL ESTATE IMPACTS OF THE OHIO HUB

IV.1	Current Total Employment by Geographic Area	12.
IV.2	Current Retail Employment by Geographic Area	14.
IV.3	Current Office Employment by Geographic Area	15.
IV.4	Current Total Employment by Geographic Area	16.
IV.5	Current Retail Employment by Geographic Area	17.
IV.6	Current Office Employment by Geographic Area	17.
IV.7	Ohio Hub and MWRRI- Household Income Increase Estimates	18.
IV.8	Current Unit Construction Costs by Improvements Use Type	20.
IV.9	Ohio Hub-Mid High Rise Development Format	22.
IV.10	Ohio Hub-Low Rise Development Format with Structured Parking	24.
IV.11	Ohio Hub-Low Rise Development Format with Surface Parking	25.
IV.12	Ohio Hub and MWRRI-Mid High Rise Development Format	26.

LIST OF TABLES (CONTINUED)

IV. EMPLOYMENT, INCOME AND REAL ESTATE IMPACTS OF THE OHIO HUB (CONTINUED)

IV.13	Ohio Hub and MWRRI-Low Rise Development Format with Structured Parking	27.
IV.14	Ohio Hub and MWRRI-Low Rise Development Format with Surface Parking	28.

V. LOCAL MARKET INPUT MEETINGS-VIEWS FROM FOUR COMMUNITIES

V.1	High Private Sector Location Quotients for Cincinnati and Middletown	4.
V.1	Demographic Profile for the ¼ and ½ mile Radii Around the Station Stop in Cincinnati	5.
V.3	High Private Sector Location Quotients for Columbus	9.
V.4	Demographic Profile for ¼ and ½ Mile Radii Around the Station Stop in Columbus	11.
V.5	High Private Sector Location Quotients for Cincinnati and Middletown	15.
V.6	Demographic Profile for the ¼ and ½ Mile Radii Around the Station Stop in Middletown	16.
V.7	Sub-sectors with High Location Quotients for Toledo by County and Industry	19.
V.8	Demographic Profile for the ¼ and ½ Mile Radii Around the Station Stop in Toledo	21.

VI. BENCHMARK STUDY ANALYSIS OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND LAND USE IMPACTS NEAR HIGH-VOLUME AMTRAK STATIONS IN MAINE, PENNSYLVANIA, AND WISCONSIN

VI.1	Daily Boardings for Representative Intercity Rail Stations	3.
VI.2	Key Demographics within ¼-Mile of Amtrak Stations in Keystone Corridor	6.
VI.3	Household Spending Near Major Rail Stations in the Keystone Corridor	8.
VI.4	Projected Change in Property Taxes in Proximity to Amtrak Station: 2005-2010	10.
VI.5	Key Demographics Within ¼-Mile of Amtrak Stations in Maine	12.
VI.6	Projected Change in Household Spending Near Downeaster Rail Stops, 2005-2010	15.
VI.7	Projected Change in Property Taxes in Proximity to Downeaster Rail Stations: 2005-2010	15.
VI.8	Demographic Data for Major Intercity Rail Cities	17.
VI.9	Projected Change in Household Spending Near Major City Rail Stops, 2005-2010	18.
VI.10	Projected Change in Property Taxes in Proximity to Major City Rail Stations: 2005-2010	19.
VI.11	Boardings and Alightings for Hiawatha Line (2005)	19.

LIST OF FIGURES

III. INPUT/OUTPUT ANALYSIS AND REPORT

III.1	Capital Spending Categories	7.
III.2	Infrastructure Cost Shares by State	8.
III.3	Annual Construction and Output benefits (2005 Dollars)	18.
III.4	Ohio Hub Revenues and Expenses 2010-2040	26.
III.5	Corridor Level Operating Cash Flow 2010-2040	26.
III.6	Ohio Hub 2025 Expenses (\$1,000s)	28.

IV. EMPLOYEMENT, INCOME, AND REAL ESATE IMPACTS OF THE OHIO HUB

Google Earth Images of Union Terminal Building	33.
--	-----

VI. BENCHMARK STUDY ANALYSIS OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT AND LAND USE IMPACTS NEAR HIGH-VOLUME AMTRAK STATIONS IN MAINE, PENNSYLVANIA, AND WISCONSIN

VI.1	Aerial Photo of Harrisburg Amtrak Station	5.
VI.2	Change in Population Within ¼-mile of Amtrak Stations: Keystone Corridor	7.
VI.3	Change in Households Within ¼-mile of Amtrak Stations: Keystone Corridor	7.
VI.4	Change in Income Within ¼-mile of Amtrak Stations: Keystone Corridor	7.
VI.5	Change in Housing Units Within ¼-mile of Amtrak Stations: Keystone Corridor	7.
VI.6	Change in Residential Vacancy Rates Within ¼-mile of Amtrak Station: Keystone Corridor	9.
VI.7	Change in Share of Rental Housing Within ¼-mile of Amtrak Station: Keystone Corridor	9.
VI.8	Change in Housing Units Within ¼-Mile of Amtrak Stations: Downeaster	11.
VI.9	Population Within ¼-mile of Amtrak Stations: Downeaster	12.
VI.10	Household Growth Within ¼-Mile of Amtrak Stations: Downeaster	12.
VI.11	Change in Housing Units Within ¼-Mile of Amtrak Stations: Downeaster	13.
VI.12	Rental Housing Within ¼-Mile of Amtrak Station: Downeaster	14.
VI.13	Trends in Vacancy Rates Within ¼-Mile of Amtrak Station: Downeaster	14.
VI.14	Population Change Within ¼-Mile of Amtrak Stations: Major Cities	17.
VI.15	Household Growth Within ¼-Mile of Amtrak Stations: Major Cities	17.
VI.16	Change in Housing Units Within ¼-Mile of Major Amtrak Stations	18.
VI.17	Change in Share of Rental Housing Within ¼-Mile of Major Amtrak Stations	18.
VI.18	Aerial View of Downtown Milwaukee Amtrak Station	19.
VI.19	Front Entrance to Milwaukee Amtrak Terminal	20.

**LIST OF FIGURES
(CONTINUED)**

**VI. BENCHMARK STUDY ANALYSIS OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT
AND LAND USE IMPACTS NEAR HIGH-VOLUME AMTRAK STATIONS
IN MAINE, PENNSYLVANIA, AND WISCONSIN (CONTINUED)**

VI.20	Ticketing and Passenger Waiting Area in Milwaukee Amtrak Terminal	19.
VI.21	Vending Area in Milwaukee Amtrak Station	20.
VI.22	Commercial Office Building Across from Milwaukee Amtrak Terminal	20.
VI.23	Surface Parking Near Milwaukee Amtrak Terminal	21.
VI.24	Aerial View of General Mitchell Airport Amtrak Station	21.
VI.25	View of Parking Lot from Hiawatha Line at General Mitchell Airport	21.
VI.26	New Parking Lot at Sturtevant/Racine Amtrak Station	22.
VI.27	Recently Renovated Amtrak Station at Sturtevant/Racine	22.
VI.28	Storage Units East of the Sturtevant/Racine Amtrak Station	22.

LIST OF APPENDICIES

1. Long Term Employment Growth Tab 1
2. Long Term Household Income Increases Tab 2
4. Long Term Real Estate Development Costs and Benefits Tab 3
5. Community Survey Questionnaire-Cincinnati..... Tab 4
6. Community Survey Questionnaire-Columbus Tab 5
7. Community Survey Questionnaire-Middletown Tab 6
8. Community Survey Questionnaire-Toledo Tab 7
9. Community Survey Questionnaire-Combined Tab 8